



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

**Modernisation of Higher Education in
Central Asia through New
Technologies (HiEdTec)**



REPORT ON THE 7TH PROJECT MEETING

**Project: Modernisation of Higher Education in Central Asia through New
Technologies (HiEdTec)**

Project No: 598092-EPP-1-2018-1-BG-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP

Project Coordinator: ANGEL KANCHEV UNIVERSITY OF RUSE



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

**Modernisation of Higher Education
in Central Asia through New
Technologies (HiEdTec)**



Deliverable number: 5.10

Title: Report on the 7th project meeting

Type of nature of deliverable: Report

Dissemination level: International level

Status/Version: Final

Date: June, 2022

MAIN AUTHORS:

AUREL MACHALEK – UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG
STEFANIE OESTLUND – UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG
LATIF LADID – UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG

CONTRIBUTING PERSONS:

STOYANKA SMRIKAROVA – UNIVERSITY OF RUSE
TZVETOMIR VASSILEV – UNIVERSITY OF RUSE
RASHID NASIMOV - TASHKENT UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

This document has been produced with the support of the European Commission under the ERASMUS+ Programme, KA2 – Capacity Building in the Field of Higher Education: 598092-EPP-1-2018-1-BG-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP. It reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

No part of the report may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording; and may not be quoted or cited, without prior permission in writing from the Project Coordinator.



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

**Modernisation of Higher Education
in Central Asia through New
Technologies (HiEdTec)**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	6
1 HiEdTec 7 th Project Meeting Feedback, Online	9
2 Conclusion.....	24



List of Abbreviations & meanings

HiEdTec	– <i>Modernisation of Higher Education in Central Asia through New Technologies</i>
QA	– <i>Quality assurance</i>
MG	– <i>Management Group</i>
EB	– <i>Evaluation Board</i>
WP	– <i>Work Package</i>
WP LT	– <i>WP Leading Team</i>
MP	– <i>Management Plan</i>
UR	– <i>University of Ruse Angel Kanchev</i>
ATU	– <i>Almaty Technological University</i>
InEU	– <i>Innovative University of Eurasia</i>
AIU	– <i>Ala-Too International University</i>
IKSU	– <i>Issyk Kul State University named after K.Tynystanov</i>
KSTU	– <i>Kyrgyz State Technical University named after I. Razzakov</i>
KhoSU	– <i>Khorog State University named after M.Nazarshoev</i>
TTU	– <i>Tajik technical University named after academician M.Osimi</i>
TUT	– <i>Technological University of Tajikistan</i>
IUHD	– <i>International University for the Humanities and Development</i>
TITU	– <i>Oguz Han Engineering and Technology University of Turkmenistan</i>
SEIT	– <i>State Power Engineering Institute of Turkmenistan</i>



- AndMI – *Andijan Machine-Building Institute*
- TSUE – *Tashkent State University of Economics*
- TUIT – *Tashkent University of Information Technologies named
after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi*
- UPV – *Università degli Studi di Pavia*
- UL – *University of Luxembourg*
- UC – *University of Coimbra*
- MES – *Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of
Kazakhstan*
- MES – *Ministry of Education and Science of the Kyrgyz Republic*
- MES – *Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of
Tajikistan*
- MET – *Ministry of Education of Turkmenistan*
- MHSSE – *Ministry of Higher and Secondary specialized education of
the Republic of Uzbekistan*



INTRODUCTION

The 7th HiEdTec meeting held in hybrid form 17th – 20th May 2022 in Kyrgyzstan, BISHKEK Kyrgyz State Technical University, named after I. Razzakov, Ala-Too International University and KARAKOL Issykkul State University, named after K. Tynystanov with following Agenda:

17 May Tuesday	09:00 – 09:30	Hall of Main Study Building (#1), Conference hall #1/314 Registration of the participants in the meeting
	09:30 – 10:00	OPENING CEREMONY
		Moderator: Bibigul Koshoeva – Lecturer at the Kyrgyz State Technical University
		Speaker: Prof. Mirlan Chynybaev – Rector of the Kyrgyz State Technical University
		Speaker: Academician Hristo Beloev – Rector of the University of Ruse
		Signing a memorandum of cooperation 📄📷
		Speaker: Mr. Abazbek uulu Rasul – Deputy Minister of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kyrgyzstan
		Speaker: Ms. Gulnara Chokusheva – Head of the Erasmus National Office in Kyrgyzstan
		Speaker: Prof. Angel Smrikarov – HiEdTec Coordinator
	10:00 – 10:20	Brief introduction of participants Speakers: each participant
	10:20 – 10:30	Presenting the Kyrgyz State Technical University
	10:30 – 10:40	Presenting a web-based course of Kyrgyz State Technical University
	10:40 – 10:50	Presenting a web-based course of Ala-Too International University
	10:50 – 11:00	Presenting a web-based course of Issykkul State University
	11:00 – 11:30	Coffee Break
	11:30 – 11:40	Presenting the web-based course of Almaty Technological University
	11:40 – 11:50	Presenting the web-based course of Eurasian National University
	11:50 – 12:00	Presenting the web-based course of Innovative University of Eurasia
	12:00 – 12:10	Presenting the web-based course of Andijan Machine-Building Institute
	12:10 – 12:20	Presenting the web-based course of Tashkent State University of Economics
	12:20 – 12:30	Presenting the web-based course of Tashkent University of Information Technology
	12:30 – 12:45	Discussion
	12:45 – 13:00	Photo of the Project Meeting participants in front of the main building of the University 📷



	13:00 – 14:00	Lunch Break – University Restaurant
	14:00 – 14:15	Progress of: WP1. Cooperation for sharing experience and exchange of good practices in the field of innovative educational technologies WP6. Dissemination and Exploitation of Project Results Speaker: Bibigul Koshoeva –
	14:15 – 14:30	How CAREN can help with cooperation for sharing experience and exchange of good practices? Speaker: Almaz Bakenov – Director of CAREN
	14:30 – 14:45	A word from the evaluator Speaker: Robert Janz –
	14:45 – 15:15	Discussion
	15:15 – 15:30	Financial issues Speaker: Stoyanka Smrikarova – HiEdTec financial manager
	15:30 – 15:50	Coffee Break
	15:50 – 17:00	Visit of the Kyrgyz State Technical University - HiEdTec office - Center for Innovative Education Technologies - Active Learning Classrooms
	14:00 – 17:00	Individual work with the partners - Room: 1/354 Stoyanka Smrikarova
	19:00	Joint dinner in Vuála restaurant Address: Bishkek, st. Maldybaeva, 54


18 May	09:00 – 09:30	Main Study Building, Conference hall A308, Block A
	09:30 – 10:00	OPENING CEREMONY
		Moderator: Burul Shambetova – Lecturer at the Ala-Too International University
		Speaker: Prof. Sanzharbek Erdolatov – Rector of the Ala-Too International University
		Speaker: Academician Hristo Beloev – Rector of the University of Ruse
		Signing a memorandum of cooperation 🤝
		Speaker: Prof. Angel Smrikarov – HiEdTec Coordinator
	10:00 – 10:10	Presenting the Ala-Too International University
	10:10 – 10:20	Speaker: Assoc. Prof. Kunduz Juzupkova Director of IOT Center at AIU
	10:20 – 10:30	Speaker: Dinara Egemberdieva



		International Programs Coordinator (Partnership, Mobility, Erasmus+)
	10:30 – 10:45	Development of the centers for innovative educational technologies and active learning classrooms Speaker: Prof. Angel Smrikarov
	10:45 – 11:45	Visit of the Ala-Too International University - HiEdTec office - Center for Innovative Education Technologies - Active Learning Classrooms
	11:45 – 12:00	Visiting Distance Learning Center - D block Speaker: Prof. Zhyldyzbek Zhakshylykov Director of Distance Learning Center
	12:00 – 13:00	Lunch Break – University Restaurant
	13:00 –	Travel to Karakol Accommodation 

19 May Friday	09:00 – 09:30	Room 212, 2nd Building of IKSU
	09:30 – 10:00	OPENING CEREMONY Moderator: Indira Imanakhunova – Lecturer at the Issyk-Kul State University Speaker: Prof. Askarbek Imanbaev – Rector of the Issyk-Kul State University Speaker: Academician Hristo Beloev – Rector of the University of Ruse Signing a memorandum of cooperation  Speaker: Prof. Angel Smrikarov – HiEdTec Coordinator
	10:00 – 10:15	Presenting the Issyk-Kul State University
	10:15 – 10:30	Coffee Break
	10:30 – 12:00	Visit of the Issyk-Kul State University - HiEdTec office; - Center for Innovative Education Technologies; - Active Learning Classrooms
	12:00 – 13:00	Lunch Break – Dastarkon
	13:00	Sightseeing to the Reina Kench
	18:30	Joint dinner at Reina Kench Address: Tepke Village, 13 km from Karakol



20 May Friday	10:00 – 10:30	8 th project meeting plan Speaker: Angel Smrikarov
	10:30 – 11:00	Final discussion Speaker: Tzvetomir Vassilev
	11:00 – 12:00	Closing time
	12:00 – 13:00	Lunch Break – Cafe Saimaluu
	13:00	Travel to BISHKEK Visit suggestions on the way: Dzhety-Oguz https://visitkarakol.com/djeti_oguz_gorge or Skazka Canyon https://visitkarakol.com/fairytale_canyon
21 May Saturday	Departure day	

1 HIEdTEC 7TH PROJECT MEETING FEEDBACK, ONLINE

Based on consortium agreement, University of Luxembourg created a questionnaire to get feedback from all participating partners. This work is related to the projects' Internal Evaluation System and Quality and Assurance Plan (D5.1). There were 16 partners responding and they evaluated the quality of the seventh HiEdTec meeting Hybrid, Kyrgyzstan.


We get 16 responses what is maximum from beginning of the project. Partners of the project used to use answering questionnaires and validating quality of the meetings. This is in line with Project Quality management.

The evaluation questions were the following:




0. Have you participated online or in-person?

16 responses



1. Which country are you from?


16 responses





2. Overall, please tell us how productive the meeting was using a 1 to 5 scale where 1 means not at all productive and 5 means extremely productive.

15 responses



- The meeting validates 15 partners with 86,7% of participants found meeting extremely productive, 6,7% productive and 6,7% not at all productive
- Overall there is increasing satisfaction of responder's compare especially for high productive and productive. The members were happy for personal meeting after long period caused by pandemic.

3. Please tell us why you feel that way about how productive the meeting was.

13 responses

- All events were held on schedule and the goals of the meetings were achieved.
- Online users could only participate on day 1 and were excluded from working activities.
- In this meeting we discussed the most important points, in particular about web courses
- Super! Thanks a lot.
- Networking and knowing each other, exchange if information between universities, sharing of projects that can be implemented in the near future, cooperations and agreements done.
- After a long time meeting in person and discussions with colleagues helped us to correct our next steps.
- Participants have identified with the partners 'partners' courses from different countries, who have chosen to supplement the supplement. Excursion to the universities of the Kyrgyz partners and the education of the other participants in the development of the connection Corrected plans for the remaining project period and post-project activities.
- Everything planned was completed. We visited all the 3 partner universities in Kyrgyzstan and saw the Innovative Educational Technologies Centres and Active Learning Classrooms.



- Although my participation was online, and I attended only the first day, it seems to me that everything proceeded according to plan, and that the various presentations clearly illustrated the activity carried out and the results achieved.
- Everything was organized to a high standard.
- The meeting was very good organized! Partner universities has shared with there experience of creating web courses.


4. Now we have a few questions about the meeting content and its organization. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements about the meeting using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."

The questions structure and answers:

- The meeting objectives were clearly communicated in advance of the meeting
 - 14 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 1 responder agreed
 - 1 stayed neutral
- The meeting objectives were met
 - 12 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 2 responders agreed
 - 2 responders N/A
- Follow-up actions resulted from the meeting
 - 13 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 2 responders stayed neutral
 - 1 responder N/A
- Owners of follow-up actions were assigned
 - 11 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 2 responders agreed
 - 2 responders stayed neutral
 - 1 responder N/A
- The meeting leader(s) effectively moderated the meeting
 - 14 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 1 responder stayed neutral
 - 1 responder N/A
- Meeting attendees had an opportunity to participate
 - 13 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 1 responder agreed
 - 1 responder disagree
 - 1 responder N/A



- The right people were invited to the meeting
 - 11 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 5 responders agreed
- Participants know what is expected of them in advanced and are ready to contribute
 - 15 responders from 16 strongly agreed
 - 1 responder stayed neutral
- Meeting technologies (computers, projectors, screens, phones) are working, prompted, and ready to go. Remote attendees included.
 - 10 responders from 60 strongly agreed
 - 4 responders agreed
 - 1 responder disagree
 - 1 responder strongly disagree
- Meetings are practical, dynamic and interactive. Participants are involved, excited, empowered and informed
 - 29 responders from 61 strongly agreed
 - 29 responders agreed
 - 2 responders stayed neutral
 - 1 responder disagree
- There is a sense of accomplishment and a feeling of progress. People look forward to meetings that assist their work
 - 12 participants from 16 strongly agree
 - 3 participants agree
 - 1 responder disagree
- The tone of meetings is positive regardless of topic. Discussions are professional, respectful, all opinions valued
 - 15 responders from 1 strongly agreed
 - 1 responder agree






5. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics at the Kyrgyz State Technical University, Bishkek. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."

The questions structure and answers:

- The meeting was the appropriate length of time
 - 11 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 2 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The speakers were easily heard
 - 11 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 2 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The presentation was easily seen
 - 12 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 1 responder stayed neutral
 - 3 responders N/A
- The audio quality was good
 - 11 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 2 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The room was adequate in size
 - 12 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 1 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The social activities were well organised and appropriate
 - 12 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 1 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- Everybody was able to follow and understand the presentations
 - 13 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 3 responders N/A



5. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics at the Kyrgyz State Technical University, Bishkek. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."



6. Any comments on the meeting logistics at the Kyrgyz State Technical University, Bishkek?

12 responses

- Perfect
- Perfect
- Everything was well organized
- The meeting was very good organized!
- The meeting was organized at the highest level
- Logistics was good and convenient
- Very Good!
- I attended online
- Everything was very well organized.
- The online participants could only participate in the official part of the project. The audio quality was miserable and the presentations mostly only in Russian, not all translated. Speakers were hard to be understood online due to bad audio quality.
- Super! Thanks a lot.

7. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics at the Ala-Too International University, Bishkek. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."


The questions structure and answers:

- The meeting was the appropriate length of time
 - 11 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A



- The speakers were easily heard
 - 11 responders from 16 strongly agree
 - 2 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The presentation was easily seen
 - 11 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 1 responder stayed neutral
 - 3 responders N/A
- The audio quality was good
 - 11 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The room was adequate in size
 - 9 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 3 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The social activities were well organised and appropriate
 - 10 responders from 14 strongly agree
 - 1 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- Everybody was able to follow and understand the presentations
 - 10 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 2 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A

7. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics at the Ala-Too International University, Bishkek. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."



8. Any comments on the meeting logistics at the the Ala-Too Intenational University, Bishkek?

11 responses

- Perfect+
- No



- Everything was well organized
- The meeting was very good organized.
- Продумано и информативно. Доброжелательная обстановка (Thoughtful and informative. Friendly environment)
- Best!
- I didn't participate
- Everything was very well organized.
- The online participants could only participate in the official part of the project on day 1. They were excluded from this part of the visit of the centres.
- Super! Thanks a lot.

9. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics at the Issyk-Kul State University, Karakol. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."


The questions structure and answers:

- The meeting was the appropriate length of time
 - 12 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The speakers were easily heard
 - 11 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The presentation was easily seen
 - 11 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 1 responder stayed neutral
 - 3 responders N/A
- The audio quality was good
 - 11 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The room was adequate in size
 - 10 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 2 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The social activities were well organised and appropriate
 - 12 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 3 responders N/A



- Everybody was able to follow and understand the presentations
 - 12 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 3 responders N/A

9. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics at the Issyk-Kul State University, Karakol. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."



10. Any comments on the meeting logistics at the the Issyk-Kul State University, Karakol?

11 responses

- Perfect++
- Everything was well organized
- The meeting was very good organized.
- meeting and hospitality was organized at the highest level
- Thoughtful and informative. Friendly environment
- Very Good!
- Everything was very well organized.
- The online participants could only participate in the official part of the project on day 1. They were excluded from this part of the visit of the centres.
- Super! Thanks a lot.

11. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics for online participants. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."


The questions structure and answers:

- The meeting was the appropriate length of time
 - 8 responders from 13 strongly agree
 - 1 responder stayed neutral
 - 1 responder disagree



- 3 responders N/A
- The speakers were easily heard
 - 7 responders from 13 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 2 responders strongly disagree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The presentation was easily seen
 - 9 responders from 13 strongly agree
 - 1 responder disagree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The audio quality was good
 - 8 responders from 13 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 2 responders strongly disagree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The audio quality was good
 - 8 responders from 15 strongly agree
 - 2 responder agree
 - 3 responders N/A
- The room was adequate in size
 - 8 responders from 12 strongly agree
 - 1 responder strongly disagree
 - 3 responders N/A
- Everybody was able to follow and understand the presentations
 - 7 responders from 13 strongly agree
 - 1 responders agree
 - 2 responders strongly disagree
 - 3 responders N/A

11. We would also like your feedback on the meeting logistics for online participants. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you stro...If a statement does not apply to the meeting you attended, please select "NA."





12. Any comments on the meeting logistics for the online participation?

7 responses

- N/a
- Good!
- Very hard to understand online. Not so much translation. Problem with the connection.
- I participated in person
- The online participants could only participate in the official part of the project on day 1. The audio quality was miserable and the presentations mostly only in Russian, not all translated. Speakers were hard to be understood online due to bad audio quality. Apart from day 1, no more online participation was possible. Active participation in working activities was not made available online. The online meeting on day 1 started extremely early for participants from the EU. It could have started 1 hour later maybe.
- I didn't watch online sorry.


13. The equipped Centres for Innovative Educational Technologies and Active Learning Classrooms were visited at all the three universities. Please tell us your level of agreement with the following statements about the facilities created using a 1 to 5 scale, where 1 means you strongly disagree and 5 means you strongly agree. If a statement does not apply, please select "NA."

The questions structure and answers:

- The equipped Centres for Innovative Educational Technologies and Active Learning Classroom at the Kyrgyz State Technical University, Karakol have been well equipped and are functional
 - 11 responders from 14 strongly agree
 - 1 responder agree
 - 2 responders N/A
- The equipped Centres for Innovative Educational Technologies and Active Learning Classroom at the Ala-Too International University, Bishkek have been well equipped and are functional
 - 11 responders from 14 strongly agree
 - 1 responder agree
 - 2 responders N/A
- The equipped Centres for Innovative Educational Technologies and Active Learning Classroom at the Issyk-Kul State University, Karakol have been well equipped and are functional
 - 11 responders from 14 strongly agree
 - 1 responder agree
 - 2 responders N/A



13. The equipped Centres for Innovative Educational Technologies and Active Learning Classrooms were visited at all the three universities. Please tel...e. If a statement does not apply, please select "NA."



14. Any comments on the visit of the 3 equipped Centres for Innovative Educational Technologies and Active Learning Classrooms?


10 responses

- Nicely organized
- Modern, innovative educational technologies are being developed at the IET Centres, and useful training and courses are being held at a high level.
- Colleagues from Kyrgyzstan equipped three universities with all the facilities received from the project
- Conform to the expectations and plans of the project
- Good!
- N/A
- No
- Online participants could not visit the centers.
- Super.




15. Did the meeting start on time?

16 responses



16. Did the meeting end on time?

16 responses



17. What did you find most effective about the meeting?

12 responses

- Face to talk and get advice from colleagues
- All
- I believe this meeting enables us to see the progress of the work being carried out, namely as regards the development of e-learning courses. It also fostered motivation among partner countries that are still behind on course development but that will have to fulfil this task very soon to meet the deadlines
- A lot of information about web courses and further progress on the project
- In-person meeting was the most effective part of the meeting.)



- Face to face conversation about the web-course organization and other things
- Report of the coordinator Professor Angel Smrikarov on teaching the future and development of Ruse University
- The good organization and dedication of the participants in the presentation of the work done.
- N/A
- That we visited all the three universities.
- The possibility to participate online
- Everything is excellent. Thanks a lot to the organizers

18. What did you find least effective about the meeting?

10 responses

- N/a
- Nothing! There were no weak points!
- Nothing
- No
- Several presentations were in Russian.
- N/A
- We had to travel a long time from Bishkek to Karakol, but it was worth it.
- No EN translations almost and no possibility to participate in the important working part of the project for online participants.
- Everything is excellent. Thanks a lot to the organizers

19. Ideas for future meetings, suggestions, comments, etc

9 responses

- More focus on new ideas to build digital community at universities and sustainability of centers
- Hope to see all partners in the next Final meeting face to face!
- All meetings were successful, all universities got a great experience in the application and use of new technologies in teaching students. This will be the wish if the Commission considers it appropriate to hold the last meeting in Coimbra, Portugal
- Dissemination of the courses
- Ideas are already included in the program of the next meeting
- N/A
- It will be good to see the centres and active learning classrooms in the other CA universities as well.
- All partners should get the possibility to participate in the complete meeting wherever possible in English. If online possibility is offered from the start, it should also be offered



through the complete meeting or at least it should be communicated before the organization of participation online or in -person that is will not be possible over the complete meeting to participate online.

- We expect the same result in Italy

2 CONCLUSION

Overall, we get most answers after the project meeting.

The outcome is very positive feedback from meeting participants, highly appreciate personal possibility of the meeting.

The project did large progress and the project partners highly appreciate the achievements of the project.

The whole meeting was on hybrid mode. The online participants has some problems to follow up the meeting. Only first day was streamed online. And not everything translate to english language.

The hybrid mode of the future meetings have to be better prepared.